PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Preface

To cite this article: 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 709 011001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>8th International Conference On</u> Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy (SAFE2020)
- IF-YITP GR+HEP+Cosmo International Symposium VI
- <u>A Stellar Activity F-statistic for Exoplanet</u> <u>Surveys (SAFE)</u> Parker H. Holzer, Jessi Cisewski-Kehe, Lily Zhao et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.71.196.35 on 05/10/2023 at 13:29

7th International Conference Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy SAFE2019 October 19-21, 2019

Phuket Rajabhat University, Phuket. Thailand



7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and EnergyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Preface

We are proud to inform you that the International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy (SAFE2019): Green Agri-food Energy Production for a Better World in a Changing Climate" which will be held from October 18-21, 2019 in Phuket, Thailand. The co-host institution is Chiang Mai University, Thailand, Chiang Mai Rajabht University, THAILAND and ANDALAS University, INDONESIA. This conference is the 7th conference after the 1st International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy (SAFE2013) in Padang, Indonesia (12-14 May 2014), the 2nd conference SAFE2014 in Bali, Indonesia (17-19 September 2014). The 3rd conference SAFE2015 in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (17-19 November 2015), 4th conference SAFE2016, Colombo, Sri Lanka (October 20-22, 2016), the 5th conference SAFE2017, Malaysia, August 22-24, 2017. and the 6th conference of SAFE2018, Manila. Philippines [October 19-21, 2018].

The objectives of the conference were:

To provide a forum for international researchers community to exchange and share the experiences, new ideas, sustainability concepts and research results on sustainable agriculture, food, and energy. To promote collaboration in research on sustainable agriculture, foods, and energy production. To establish a regional networking among participants on sustainable agriculture, food, and energy. To increase awareness of the importance of living and working in the manner that enhances the economic, environmental and social well-being of our community through research, education, regional partnerships, and community engagement.

The committee accepted 90 papers of over 300 papers which were presented in SAFE2019 conference.

On behalf of SAFE-Network, we would like to convey our appreciation and thanks very much to Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai Rajabhat University, and the Phuket Rajabhat University, Phuket. Thailand

We would like especially to thank **Prof. Dr.Tafdil Husni**, *Rector of Andalas University* for his strong support to this event, **Dr. Sermkiat Jenjunyong**, *local conference coordinator* and the members of the local organizing committee who helped with all the preparations required to make the conference a success, as well as the session organizers who worked to ensure a high level of science presented at the meeting. Moreover, of course, we thank all honorable speakers and participants who have agreed to attend and discuss your work! Finally, please understand that while every effort was made to publish this proceeding, we know that unavoidable withdrawals and other changes will occur.

Looking forward to welcoming you to the SAFE2020 conference in Jeju, Korea!

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir

SAFE-Network Executive Chairman

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Editors:

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir, Andalas University-INDONESIA Prof. Dr. Nobutaka Ito, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Dr. Febri Doni, Universiti Malaya-MALAYSIA Robbi Rahim, Universiti Malaysia Perlis-MALAYSIA Rahmat Hidayat, Politeknik Negeri Padang-INDONESIA

SAFE 2019 COMMITTEE

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and EnergyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Patron

Prof. Dr. Tafdil Husni, The Rector of Andalas University. Indonesia. Asst.Prof.Dr. Hiran Prasarnkarn, The President of Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND

Executive Chairman

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir-Andalas University-INDONESIA

Local Conference Coordinator

Assoc.Prof. Sermkiat Jomjunyong, Ph.D., *Country Coordinator (THAILAND) Faculty of Engineering . Chiang Mai University.*

Conference Secretary

Dr. Worajit Setthapun, *Dean of Asian Development College for Community Economy and Technology* (adiCET) Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND HP:+66 53 885 871. E-mail: worajit@gmail.com

Advisory Committee

Dr. Paul Kristiansen–University of New England, AUSTRALIA (Co-ordinator) Prof. Dr. Hj. Khudzir Bin Hj Ismail, RECTOR of UiTM, Perlis, MALAYSIA Prof.Dr. Nguyen Hay– President of Nong Lam University Ho Chi Minh City-VIETNAM Dr. Yunardi Yusuf–Syiah Kuala University-INDONESIA

Prof. dr. Dewa Putu Widjana, DAP&E. Sp.Par.K–RECTOR of Warmadewa University– INDONESIA Prof.Dr. Bohari M Yamin, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Prof. Dr. Wan Mohtar Wan Yusoff–Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Prof.Dr. Wan Azizah Hanom Ahmad, UiTM, Malaysia

Steering Committee

Prof.Dr. Helmi– Andalas University-INDONESIA (Co-ordinator) **Dr. Norman de Jesus–** SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (Philippines)-Pampanga State Agricultural University- PHILIPPINES

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurul Huda– SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (Malaysia) Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS), MALAYSIA

Prof. P.M.C.C de Silva, Ph.D., University of Ruhuna, SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (SRI LANKA) **Prof. Dr. Fauzan Azima** – Andalas University-INDONESIA.

Dr. Munzir Busniah- Andalas University- INDONESIA.

Prof. Dr. Amitava Basu-Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vidyalaya, INDIA

Prof. Nasser Aliasgharzad-Department of Soil Science- Faculty of Agriculture. The University of Tabriz-Iran.

Assoc.Prof. Nguyen Huy Bich, Ph.D.- Nong Lam University Hochiminh City-VIETNAM Prof. Kohei NAKANO, Ph.D.- Gifu University-JAPAN

Prof. Dr. MD MIZANUR RAHMAN BHUIYAN, Khulna University- BANGLADESH

Dr. Ir. Ujang Paman Ismail, MSc. Universitas Islam Riau-INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Yuli Witono, Jember University-INDONESIA

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **709** (2021) 011001 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Organizing Committee

Asst.Prof.Dr. Thawatchai Thoomthong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND (Coordinator) Asst.Prof. Noppadol Chanrawang, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr.Suwicha Wiriyamanuwong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Doungrat Koikitcharoen, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr. Pita Jarupunphol, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr. Bundit Unyong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr.Atipan Saimmai, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Mrs. Tipaporn Pornpirom, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Assoc. Prof. Dr. Komgrit Leksakul, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr.Choncharoen Sawangrat, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Dr. Nuttiya Tantranont, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Surachai Narrat Jansri, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Chayanon Sawatdeenarunat, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Hathaithip Sintuya, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Surapol Dumronggittigule, PU-Thailand Act. SubLt. Dr. Suwattanawong Phanphet, Chiang Mai Rajabaht University-THAILAND Asst.Prof. Sermsuk Buochareon, Maejo University-THAILAND Dr. Nichan Singhaputagun, MFU-THAILAND **Dr.Paipan Thanalerdsopit**, *RMUTL-Thailand* Mrs.Nidchanun Kanchana, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND

SAFE-Network Regional Secretariat

Dr. Irawati Chaniago, Andalas University-INDONESIA Dr. Helen Martinez, PhilMech, The Philippines Prof. Georgina Bordado, CBSUA, The Philippines Dr. Hanilyn Hidalgo, CBSUA-The Philippines Dr. Febri Doni, Universiti Malaya. Malaysia Dr. Wahyudi David – Bakrie University-INDONESIA Aisman Rasinin, MSc-Andalas University-INDONESIA Zakaria Azis, STES Manna Wassalwa-Indonesia Rahmat Hidayat, ST, M.Sc.IT-State Polytechnic of Padang -INDONESIA Putri Risa Andriani, Warmadewa University. INDONESIA Muhammad Iqbal Syuhada, Andalas University-INDONESIA Nur Selvi Safril, Pamulang University-INDONESIA Dr. Ario Beta Juanssilfero, M.Eng-Kobe University-JAPAN Rachel Anja Martinez, UPLB Los Banos, Philippines Dr. Pavalee Chompoorat, Maejo University. Thailand Aprialis, Andalas University-INDONESIA Ririn Fatma Nanda, Andalas University-INDONESIA Arifatulhuda Rifka, Andalas University-INDONESIA Latifa Aini, Andalas University-INDONESIA Nia Boru Ritonga, Andalas University-INDONESIA Mentari Larashinta, Andalas University-INDONESIA

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **709** (2021) 011001 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Scientific Committee

Dr. Ravindra C Joshi. *Country Coordinator for Pacific Islands (Fiji, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Papua New Guinea, Vanuatu)*

Professor Nobutaka Ito, Chiang Mai University-ThailandDr. Yandra Arkeman, Bogor Agricultural University, INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Mohd. Bohari Yamin, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia-MALAYSIA

Prof.Dr. Nurpilihan Bafdal, Universitas Padjadjaran –INDONESIA

Roostita L. Balia, Universitas Padjadjaran –INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Yus Aniza Yusof-Universiti Putra Malaysia, MALAYSIA Assoc.Prof.Dr. Azwani Mat Lazim-Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Dr. Saiful Irwan Zubairi-Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Peer review declaration

To cite this article: 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 709 011002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Peer review declaration
- Peer review declaration
- Peer review declaration



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.71.196.35 on 05/10/2023 at 13:28

Peer review declaration

All papers published in this volume of IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science have been peer reviewed through processes administered by the Editors. Reviews were conducted by expert referees to the professional and scientific standards expected of a proceedings journal published by IOP Publishing.

- Type of peer review: Open
- **Conference submission management system:** online submission using SAFE-Network website (55%) and Direct submission to the SAFE2019 conference's e-mail (safe2019krabi@gmail.com)
- Number of submissions received: 325 submission
- Number of submissions sent for review: 302 submission
- Number of submissions accepted: 90 submission
- Acceptance Rate (Number of Submissions Accepted / Number of Submissions Received X 100): 0.29
- Average number of reviews per paper: 2
- Total number of reviewers involved: 19
- Any additional info on review process: We distributed between 12-20 manuscript to each reviewer. Averarage time to complete is 3 months. Pandemic covid-19 situation made all process become slower.
- Contact person for queries: Name : Prof. Novizar Nazir Affiliation: Andalas University, Indonesia Email : secretariat@safe-network.org

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Preface

To cite this article: 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 709 011001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>8th International Conference On</u> <u>Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy</u> (SAFE2020)
- IF-YITP GR+HEP+Cosmo International Symposium VI
- <u>A Stellar Activity F-statistic for Exoplanet</u> <u>Surveys (SAFE)</u> Parker H. Holzer, Jessi Cisewski-Kehe, Lily Zhao et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.71.196.35 on 05/10/2023 at 13:28

7th International Conference Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy SAFE2019 October 19-21, 2019

Phuket Rajabhat University, Phuket. Thailand



7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and EnergyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Preface

We are proud to inform you that the International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy (SAFE2019): Green Agri-food Energy Production for a Better World in a Changing Climate" which will be held from October 18-21, 2019 in Phuket, Thailand. The co-host institution is Chiang Mai University, Thailand, Chiang Mai Rajabht University, THAILAND and ANDALAS University, INDONESIA. This conference is the 7th conference after the 1st International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy (SAFE2013) in Padang, Indonesia (12-14 May 2014), the 2nd conference SAFE2014 in Bali, Indonesia (17-19 September 2014). The 3rd conference SAFE2015 in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (17-19 November 2015), 4th conference SAFE2016, Colombo, Sri Lanka (October 20-22, 2016), the 5th conference SAFE2017, Malaysia, August 22-24, 2017. and the 6th conference of SAFE2018, Manila. Philippines [October 19-21, 2018].

The objectives of the conference were:

To provide a forum for international researchers community to exchange and share the experiences, new ideas, sustainability concepts and research results on sustainable agriculture, food, and energy. To promote collaboration in research on sustainable agriculture, foods, and energy production. To establish a regional networking among participants on sustainable agriculture, food, and energy. To increase awareness of the importance of living and working in the manner that enhances the economic, environmental and social well-being of our community through research, education, regional partnerships, and community engagement.

The committee accepted 90 papers of over 300 papers which were presented in SAFE2019 conference.

On behalf of SAFE-Network, we would like to convey our appreciation and thanks very much to Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai Rajabhat University, and the Phuket Rajabhat University, Phuket. Thailand

We would like especially to thank **Prof. Dr.Tafdil Husni**, *Rector of Andalas University* for his strong support to this event, **Dr. Sermkiat Jenjunyong**, *local conference coordinator* and the members of the local organizing committee who helped with all the preparations required to make the conference a success, as well as the session organizers who worked to ensure a high level of science presented at the meeting. Moreover, of course, we thank all honorable speakers and participants who have agreed to attend and discuss your work! Finally, please understand that while every effort was made to publish this proceeding, we know that unavoidable withdrawals and other changes will occur.

Looking forward to welcoming you to the SAFE2020 conference in Jeju, Korea!

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir

SAFE-Network Executive Chairman

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001	doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Editors:

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir, Andalas University-INDONESIA Prof. Dr. Nobutaka Ito, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Dr. Febri Doni, Universiti Malaya-MALAYSIA Robbi Rahim, Universiti Malaysia Perlis-MALAYSIA Rahmat Hidayat, Politeknik Negeri Padang-INDONESIA

SAFE 2019 COMMITTEE

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and EnergyIOP PublishingIOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 709 (2021) 011001doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Patron

Prof. Dr. Tafdil Husni, The Rector of Andalas University. Indonesia. Asst.Prof.Dr. Hiran Prasarnkarn, The President of Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND

Executive Chairman

Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir-Andalas University-INDONESIA

Local Conference Coordinator

Assoc.Prof. Sermkiat Jomjunyong, Ph.D., *Country Coordinator (THAILAND) Faculty of Engineering . Chiang Mai University.*

Conference Secretary

Dr. Worajit Setthapun, *Dean of Asian Development College for Community Economy and Technology* (adiCET) Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND HP:+66 53 885 871. E-mail: worajit@gmail.com

Advisory Committee

Dr. Paul Kristiansen–University of New England, AUSTRALIA (Co-ordinator) Prof. Dr. Hj. Khudzir Bin Hj Ismail, RECTOR of UiTM, Perlis, MALAYSIA Prof.Dr. Nguyen Hay– President of Nong Lam University Ho Chi Minh City-VIETNAM Dr. Yunardi Yusuf–Syiah Kuala University-INDONESIA

Prof. dr. Dewa Putu Widjana, DAP&E. Sp.Par.K–RECTOR of Warmadewa University– INDONESIA Prof.Dr. Bohari M Yamin, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Prof. Dr. Wan Mohtar Wan Yusoff–Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Prof.Dr. Wan Azizah Hanom Ahmad, UiTM, Malaysia

Steering Committee

Prof.Dr. Helmi– Andalas University-INDONESIA (Co-ordinator) **Dr. Norman de Jesus–** SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (Philippines)-Pampanga State Agricultural University- PHILIPPINES

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurul Huda– SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (Malaysia) Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS), MALAYSIA

Prof. P.M.C.C de Silva, Ph.D., University of Ruhuna, SAFE-Network Resident Co-ordinator (SRI LANKA) **Prof. Dr. Fauzan Azima** – Andalas University-INDONESIA.

Dr. Munzir Busniah- Andalas University- INDONESIA.

Prof. Dr. Amitava Basu-Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vidyalaya, INDIA

Prof. Nasser Aliasgharzad-Department of Soil Science- Faculty of Agriculture. The University of Tabriz-Iran.

Assoc.Prof. Nguyen Huy Bich, Ph.D.- Nong Lam University Hochiminh City-VIETNAM Prof. Kohei NAKANO, Ph.D.- Gifu University-JAPAN

Prof. Dr. MD MIZANUR RAHMAN BHUIYAN, Khulna University- BANGLADESH

Dr. Ir. Ujang Paman Ismail, MSc. Universitas Islam Riau-INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Yuli Witono, Jember University-INDONESIA

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **709** (2021) 011001 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Organizing Committee

Asst.Prof.Dr. Thawatchai Thoomthong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND (Coordinator) Asst.Prof. Noppadol Chanrawang, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr.Suwicha Wiriyamanuwong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Doungrat Koikitcharoen, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr. Pita Jarupunphol, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr. Bundit Unyong, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr.Atipan Saimmai, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Mrs. Tipaporn Pornpirom, Phuket Rajabhat University-THAILAND Assoc. Prof. Dr. Komgrit Leksakul, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Asst.Prof.Dr.Choncharoen Sawangrat, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND Dr. Nuttiya Tantranont, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Surachai Narrat Jansri, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Chayanon Sawatdeenarunat, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Hathaithip Sintuya, adiCET-Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND Dr. Surapol Dumronggittigule, PU-Thailand Act. SubLt. Dr. Suwattanawong Phanphet, Chiang Mai Rajabaht University-THAILAND Asst.Prof. Sermsuk Buochareon, Maejo University-THAILAND Dr. Nichan Singhaputagun, MFU-THAILAND **Dr.Paipan Thanalerdsopit**, *RMUTL-Thailand* Mrs.Nidchanun Kanchana, Chiang Mai University-THAILAND

SAFE-Network Regional Secretariat

Dr. Irawati Chaniago, Andalas University-INDONESIA Dr. Helen Martinez, PhilMech, The Philippines Prof. Georgina Bordado, CBSUA, The Philippines Dr. Hanilyn Hidalgo, CBSUA-The Philippines Dr. Febri Doni, Universiti Malaya. Malaysia Dr. Wahyudi David – Bakrie University-INDONESIA Aisman Rasinin, MSc-Andalas University-INDONESIA Zakaria Azis, STES Manna Wassalwa-Indonesia Rahmat Hidayat, ST, M.Sc.IT-State Polytechnic of Padang -INDONESIA Putri Risa Andriani, Warmadewa University. INDONESIA Muhammad Iqbal Syuhada, Andalas University-INDONESIA Nur Selvi Safril, Pamulang University-INDONESIA Dr. Ario Beta Juanssilfero, M.Eng-Kobe University-JAPAN Rachel Anja Martinez, UPLB Los Banos, Philippines Dr. Pavalee Chompoorat, Maejo University. Thailand Aprialis, Andalas University-INDONESIA Ririn Fatma Nanda, Andalas University-INDONESIA Arifatulhuda Rifka, Andalas University-INDONESIA Latifa Aini, Andalas University-INDONESIA Nia Boru Ritonga, Andalas University-INDONESIA Mentari Larashinta, Andalas University-INDONESIA

7th International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **709** (2021) 011001 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/709/1/011001

Scientific Committee

Dr. Ravindra C Joshi. *Country Coordinator for Pacific Islands (Fiji, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Papua New Guinea, Vanuatu)*

Professor Nobutaka Ito, Chiang Mai University-ThailandDr. Yandra Arkeman, Bogor Agricultural University, INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Mohd. Bohari Yamin, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia-MALAYSIA

Prof.Dr. Nurpilihan Bafdal, Universitas Padjadjaran –INDONESIA

Roostita L. Balia, Universitas Padjadjaran –INDONESIA

Prof. Dr. Yus Aniza Yusof-Universiti Putra Malaysia, MALAYSIA Assoc.Prof.Dr. Azwani Mat Lazim-Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA Dr. Saiful Irwan Zubairi-Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA



International Journal of Applied Biology



International Journal of Applied Biology is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ISSN : 2580-2410 eISSN : 2580-2119

Indicator Film of Natural Coloring of Butterfly Pea (*Clitoria ternatea* L.) as Detection of Beef Damage

Hermawan Seftiono 1*, Qorry Aina 1, Inanpi Hidayati Sumiasih1

¹ Trilogi University, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Beef is a food source with a high protein content that would be ideal for microbes to flourish. Microbes would reduce the quality of a product. Therefore, an indicator on smart packaging would be needed to detect the quality of a product. The indicator film in this study used butterfly pea flower extract which contains a natural coloring agent, anthocyanin. The objective of this study was to obtain the indicator film with the best concentration of PVA, chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the response of indicator film color, pH, and thickness, to the beef pH and TVBN, and to calculate the total microbes as the determinant of the beef quality. The study consisted of three steps, namely, the extraction of butterfly pea flower, the making of indicator film, and the application of indicator film on beef packaging. The best indicator film was obtained with the formulation of PVA and chitosan of 20:80 with the addition of 5 ml of butterfly pea extract. The color change was from blue to yellowish-green with °hue of 137.81±19.310. The thickness of indicator film in 48 hours of storage decreased from 0.171±0.042 to 0.136±0.043. The pH of beef increased after 8 hours of storage from 5.726±0.011 to 7.540±0.351. The TVBN of beef after 8 hours of storage had exceeded the threshold of 30.815±5.602 which indicates that it was not safe for consumption. The TPC of beef from the 8 hours of storage had exceeded the maximum number of 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g.

Introduction

Beef consisted of water, fat, protein, carbohydrate, vitamin and several minerals (Prasetyo et al. 2013; Komariah et al. 2009). The chemical compositions of beef are 77.65% water. 14.7% fat and 18.26% protein (Prasetyo et al. 2013). The high protein content in beef causes microbes to grow and multiply so that it can reduce product quality. Efforts to increase the shelf life of beef to slow down the quality degradation due to contamination can be done by storing at low temperatures, using natural preservatives, and good packaging.

The current innovation of packaging is smart packaging. Smart packaging is a packaging system that can monitor temperature, freshness, the presence of microbes, and product shelf life (Ahmed et al. 2018). Smart packaging has a pH indicator that can use natural or synthetic dyes. This study used butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in smart packaging because currently its utilization has not been maximized compared to its big potential to be used as a natural pH indicator. Butterfly pea flower has a color pigment

Article History

Received December 22, 2021 Accepted June 14, 2022

Keyword

Anthocyanin; butterfly pea extract; thickness; volatile bases called anthocyanin which can be useful as an indicator of changes in pH. Based on research conducted by Vankar & Jyoti (2010), the anthocyanin levels in the butterfly pea flower are 227.42 mg/kg.

Based on this information, the use of butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in indicator films needs to be developed. The presence of a natural dye in indicator film on smart packaging plays a role in detecting the quality of the product directly which is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the color response of the indicator film on changes in color, pH, thickness, Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) of beef, and to calculate the total microbes as a determinant of beef quality.Based on this information, the use of butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in indicator films needs to be developed. The presence of a natural dye in indicator film on a smart packaging plays a role in detecting the quality of the product directly which is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the color response of the indicator, the use of butterfly pea flower is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the color response of the indicator film on changes in color, pH, thickness, Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) of beef, and to calculate the total microbes as a determinant of beef quality.

Materials

The study was conducted from May to July 2019 at the Laboratory of Microbiology and Biochemistry, Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Bioindustry, Trilogi University, Jakarta, and at Testing Laboratory of the Bogor Agricultural Postharvest Research and Development Center.

The materials used in this study were categorized into four types namely the materials to extract butterfly pea flower dye, the materials to make the film/ packaging, the materials for applying the indicator film, and the materials for analysis. The materials used for extracting the color from butterfly pea flowers were butterfly pea flowers obtained from a garden in the Kedung Halang region and distilled water. The materials for making the indicator film were chitosan polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), acetic acid, distilled water, and glycerol. The materials for applying the indicator film were beef obtained from Lenteng Agung market, plastic wrap, and styrofoam. The materials for analysis were aluminum foil, Whatman's filter paper no. 1, Rofa Labolatorium Centre's Vaseline, Nitra Chemical's 7% TCA solution, Rofa Labolatorium Centre K2CO3, Merck's ethanol 97%, Merck's HCL 1.5 N, Merck's HCl 0.02 N, Pudak Scientific's boric acid 3%, Nitra Chemical's bromocresol green (BCG), Pudak Scientific's methyl red (MR), Merck's peptone water (BPW) buffer media, and Merck's plate count agar (PCA).

Tools for extracting the butterfly pea flower were Excalibur dehydrator, Kern analytical balance, Thermo TA288 thermometer, stove, pan, and stirrer. The tools used to make the indicator film are Kern analytical balance, beaker glass, Stuart hot plate, magnetic stirrer, Thermo TA288 thermometer, measuring cup, and plastic mold (size 12x12cm). The tools used for analysis were Memmert incubator, Hirayama autoclave, Agilent Technologies pH meter, Tricle Brand screw micrometer, TCR 200 chromameter, Memmert oven, beaker, mortar and pestle, Bunsen, test tube, petri dish, burette, stative, vortex mixer. ZX3, Erlenmeyer, Conway dish, micropipette, and UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

Methods

Butterfly Pea Flower Extraction (Sinha et al. 2012 modified)

The butterfly pea flowers were first dried using a dehydrator at 60 °C for 1 hour (modified from the previous method without drying), and then the flowers were cleaned and weighted to get 5 grams. In a saucepan, 250 mL of distilled water and 5 grams of flowers were added then bring to 80 °C for 5 to 10 minutes. After extraction, the flowers were separated and the extract was used for the next step.

The Making of Indicator Film (Nofrida 2013 modified)

The indicator film was made by using chitosan-acetate, PVA, and glycerol. The composition used was the combination of 3% PVA (w/v) and 3% chitosan-acetate (w/v) with the addition of glycerol as a plasticizer of 1% (v/v) of the total solution volume. The tested factor was the addition of the dye, using 5, 10, 15, and 20 mL of dye/100 mL of film solution. The dye used was the butterfly pea flower extract.

In the first step, PVA was dissolved with distilled water at 80 °C for 30 minutes using a magnetic stirrer. Next, the chitosan was dissolved in a 1% acetic acid solution. The dissolved PVA solution was added with dissolved chitosan with a volume ratio that can be seen in Table 2. The next step was to add 1% glycerol and then homogenize it by stirring, then add 5, 10, 15, or 20 mL of natural dyes from butterfly pea flower per 100 mL of film solution. The homogeneous film solution was poured into 12x12 cm plastic molds and dried at room temperature (25±3 °C) with a modified time of 48 hours, while research conducted by Nofrida (2013) used 24 hours.

The Application of Indicator Film on Beef Packaging (Octavia 2015 modified)

Beef cutlet of 60 gram was put on styrofoam and covered with cling plastic wrap with 3 x 3 cm of indicator film attached to it on the inside. The beef was then stored at a modified room temperature of (25 ± 2) °C for 48 hours. The storage temperatures in the study by Octavia (2015) were in room temperature of (25 ± 2) °C and cold storage of (4 ± 2) °C. The observation at (25 ± 2) °C was conducted at 0, 8, 24, 32, and 48 hours to observe the color changes of the indicator film.

Analysis Methods

The main research carried out in this study included testing the pH of the butterfly pea flower extract, measuring the anthocyanin content (Less & Francis 1972 in Nofrida 2013), testing the thickness of the indicator film (Nofrida 2013), color analysis of the indicator film (Hunter 1958 in Octavia 2015; Nofrida 2013); The analysis of meat quality degradation includes the pH test of the beef (Mega et al. 2009), the Total Plate Count (TPC) test (BSN 2008), and the Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVBN) test (BSN 2009).

Results and Discussion

Chemical Characteristic of Butterfly Pea Flower Extract

The butterfly pea flower is one of the flowers with the potential as a natural dye source. The extract of butterfly pea flower can be used as a natural dye in the making of indicator film due to its anthocyanin content. The chemical characteristic of butterfly pea flower extract had been analyzed by measuring the pH and its anthocyanin content.

81

The analyzed butterfly pea flower extract analyzed had a pH value of 5.838 and the produced color was purplish-blue. Analysis of the pH aims to see the degree of acidity of the butterfly pea flower extract. The acidity level of the butterfly pea flower extract can affect the stability of the anthocyanin compound. The results of the pH analysis obtained of the butterfly pea flower extract are in the normal pH range because based on the determination of the pH route carried out by Nikijuluw (2013) at pH 5 to 7 anthocyanin has stable color as at neutral pH, which was blue so that at that pH it can be used as an indicator film.

The result for anthocyanin content of butterfly pea flower was 218.323 mg/kg. The study by Vankar & Jyoti (2010) obtained higher anthocyanin content which was 227.42 mg/kg. This was due to the difference in the extraction method and the difference in the solvent used. The extraction process of butterfly pea flower used by Vankar & Jyoti (2010) was maceration methods which kept in room temperature in the dark using methanol solvent and acidified using 0.1% HCl, while in this study the method used was hot maceration by applying heat at 80 °C for 5 to 10 minutes using distilled water as solvent.

This study used the hot maceration method because the materials and the technique needed were simple. In addition, the butterfly pea flower is polar so it will be easily dissolved with water in the heating process. The methanol solvent maceration method would get a more concentrated extract color, but the extraction process is quite long because of the evaporation process to evaporate the methanol in the solution. In addition, the evaporation process is feared to leave residual methanol which can affect the further analysis process.

Determination of the Best Formulation

The objective of this study was to obtain the best indicator film formulation of the PVA and chitosan composition with the addition of butterfly pea flower extract as a natural dye. Based on the previously determined formulation, the next step was to apply the indicator film. The application was to study the color changes in butterfly pea flower dyed indicator film.

The observation results of indicator film in 48 hours showed that there was a color change in the film with 5 ml butterfly pea flower extract while in the film with an additional of 10, 15, and 20 mL of extract did not show any changes. The more concentration of butterfly pea flower extract in the indicator film, the more vibrant the color and resulted in less observable color change. The five formulations (F1-F5) of indicator film showed that the best formulation was F1 with the composition of PVA: chitosan of 20:80 (Figure 1).

The best formulation which was F1 showed color changes from blue to yellowishgreen. The color change in indicator film occurred because of the protein degradation process of beef. The result of the degradation process was the volatile base that would evaporate and react with indicator film (Riyanto et al. 2014).



Figure 1. Indicator film with the addition of 5 mL butterfly pea flower extract

Indicator Film Color Change During Storage

...

- 6

The color change of indicator film indicates the quality changes in the product kept inside the smart packaging. The color change in the film occurred because the meat undergoes a decomposition process. As the beef decayed, it produced an unpleasant aroma from the formation of volatile alkaline compounds such as ammonia, dimethylamine, and trimethylamine. Volatile bases were the product of the protein decomposition process into amino acids by bacteria (Iskandar 2014). The gas produced during the decomposition process would interact with the indicator film containing anthocyanins. The anthocyanin compounds in the butterfly pea flower are sensitive to changes in the degree of acidity. This can be indicated by the change in color of the anthocyanin in the butterfly pea extract as the pH change from acidic to alkaline. The volatile compounds produced during the decomposition process are collected in the packaging and cause the pH of the indicator film to change.

Storage (hours)	°Hue Value	Color Range*	Smart Packaging	Indicator Film
0	171,03 ± 4,12	Green		
8	163,84 ± 0,42	Green	50	
24	151,48 ± 2,20	Yellow to green		
32	151,15 ± 0,53	Yellow to green		

Table 1. Color change of indicator film with butterfly pea extract during storage

48

137,81 ± Yellow to 19,31 green





Note: (*) chromatic color range according to Hutchings (1999) in Nofrida (2013)

There was a decline in the 'hue value of indicator film after 48 hours of storage. The decline started from hour 0 of 171.03 ±4.120 to hour 8 of 163.84± 0.420. The °hue value at hour 0 was categorized as green and still green at hour 8. The °hue value continued to decline at hour 24 to 151.48±2.20, at hour 32 to 151.15±0.530, and at hour 48 to 137.81±19.30.

The decline in "hue value of indicator film had a regression equation of y = -0.664x +169.9 with a strong correlation value (R^2) of 0.978. This showed that the storage time is correlated with the color change of the indicator film. A negative slope value showed a declining graph model during the storage process from hour 0 to hour 48 which can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Indicator film °hue value during storage

The total of indicator film color change during storage can be shown with ΔE value by calculating the changes of L^{*}, a^{*}, and b^{*} value from indicator film during storage. The ΔE value obtained (Figure 3) showed that there was a significant increase at hour 0 of 0.7±0.80 to 3.14±1.26 at hour 8, 3.87±0.52 at hour 24, 4.74±0.7 at hour 32, and significantly increase to 7.05±3.55 at hour 48. The regression equation was y = 0.117x + 1.270 with strong correlation value (R2) of 0.937. This showed that duration of storage was correlated with increasing ΔE . A positive slope value showed that the graph model was increasing during the process.

The increasing ΔE value caused the color change of indicator film from green to greenish-yellow for 48 hours. The color change in indicator film during storage showed that the beef underwent a decaying process and produced volatile bases that were interacted with the film.



Indicator Film Thickness During Storage

The indicator film thickness was measured to observe the changes during storage. The volume of solution and the size of the mold affected the film thickness (Setiautami 2013). When using molds of the same size could produce different thicknesses depending on the solution volume used. The higher the volume, the thicker the film produced.

The indicator film thickness changes during storage were shown in Figure 4. The thickness at hour 0 was 0.171 ± 0.042 mm and then kept declining up hour 48 to 0.136 ± 0.043 mm. The indicator film thickness had a regression equation of y = -0.0007 + 0.169 with a strong correlation value (R2) of 0.915. This showed that the storage duration correlated with film thickness. A negative slope value showed that the graph model was declining from hour 0 to hour 48.

The decline in thickness showed that the film was getting thinner. According to Jabbar (2017), the thickness was affected by the film resistance from water vapor, gas, and volatile compound transmission. The thinning of indicator film was caused by the process of water vapor transmission from the product. This process would cause the environmental conditions inside the packaging to become moist so that the indicator film was getting thinner due to interaction with water vapor. In addition, chitosan which was used as the base material for making this indicator film cannot hold water vapor well which causes the film to decompose and causes the indicator film to thin during the storage process (Fehragucci 2012).

Furthermore, Ridhawati (2016) stated that the concentration of plasticizers can affect water vapor transmission. The plasticizer used in this indicator film was glycerol. The addition of glycerol as a plasticizer could increase the permeability of indicator film so that evaporated water could get through the film easily and cause the thinning of indicator film.



Figure 4. Indicator film thickness during storage

Beef pH Value During Storage

The pH value is an indicator to determine the level of acidity of the beef meat. Analysis of pH became an important factor in determining the quality of beef because the pH value can show the decrease in the quality of stored beef. In addition, analysis of pH in the use of smart packaging was the benchmark for the level of quality changes of beef with changes in the color of the indicator film.

The graph of changes in the pH value of beef is shown in Figure 5. The pH value of beef at hour 0 was 5.761±0.034 and decline to 5.726±0.011 at hour 8. The decline in beef pH was due to the anaerobic glycolysis process that change glycogen into lactic acid (Kurniawan et al. 2014). This process would continue until the glycogen reserves in the meat tissue were depleted. This study was similar to Pangestika (2017) which showed that the pH value of meat decreased at hour 8, from pH 7 to 5.6.

Based on the obtained results, the pH value from hour 8 to hour 48 increased from 5.726±0.011 to 7.540±0.351. The increase in pH value was due to the formation of volatile bases compounds from the decomposition process of protein (Azizah 2015). The increase of pH showed the rigor mortis phase had stopped and had entered the post rigor phase. The post rigor phase is characterized by the formation of aroma and the meat becomes soft again (Anggraeni 2005).

The beef pH value had regression equation of y = 0.039x + 5.597 with strong correlation value (R2) of 0.971. This showed that storage duration is correlated with beef pH value. A positive slope value showed that the graph model was increasing from hour 8 to hour 48.



Figure 5. Beef pH value during storage

Beef TVBN Value During Storage

The freshness of beef can be determined by the Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) test. The principle of the Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) test was to evaporate the volatile base nitrogen such as amino-, mono-, di-, and trimethylamine during storage (Hasnedi 2009). The presence of those compounds caused the unsavory odor of beef during storage at a temperature of 25 °C with RH 50%. The storage temperature affects the microbial activity which caused the formation of volatile compounds from meat (Heising 2014).

The value of beef Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) during 48 hours of storage in temperature of 25 °C and RH 50% showed in Figure 6. The TVBN value increased during storage. The first measurement at hour 0 showed a TVBN value of $16.808\pm6.496 \text{ mg N}/100 \text{ g}$, which increased to $30.815\pm5.602 \text{ mg N}/100 \text{ g}$ at hour 8. The increase of Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) continued to hour 48 which was $58.829\pm10.728 \text{ mg N}/100 \text{ g}$. The value of beef TVBN had a regression equation of y = 0.829x + 20.64 with a strong correlation value (R2) of 0.968. This showed that storage duration was correlated with beef TVBN. A positive slope showed that the graph model increased during storage from hour 0 to hour 48.

The increase of Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) was due to the increase in activity of microbes that decompose protein compounds into amino acids which produce volatile base compounds such as ammonia due to the deamination of amino acids during decomposition (Cristiana et al 2007). In addition, trimethylamine (TMA) compounds are produced by the degradation of decomposition bacteria (Jinadasa 2014). The increase in these compounds correlated with the deterioration of beef quality and the odor produced when the meat entered the rotten phase.

Based on the study by Byun et al. (2003), the limit for TVBM for beef was 20 mg N/100g. The obtained TVBN value at hour 8 had already passed the threshold which was 30.815 ± 5.602 mg N/100 g that indicates that beef had already entered the rotten phase and was not suitable for consumption.



Figure 6 Value of beef TVBN during storage

Beef Total Plate Count (TPC) During Storage

Bacterial activity is responsible for the spoilage of beef during storage. The Total Plate Count (TPC) test was carried out to determine the number of bacteria contained in beef so that the quality of the meat can be determined. The results of the Total Plate Count (TPC) test on beef stored at 25 °C with 50% RH were shown in Figure 7.

The beef TPC value at hour 0 was $5.483\pm0.067 \log$ CFU/g. The TPC value then increased significantly at hour 8 to $7.338\pm0.035 \log$ CFU/g. The increase in total bacteria continued to hour 48 of storage which was $10.474\pm0.196 \log$ CFU/g. Based on that data the regression equation can be obtained, which was y = 0.105x + 6.294 with a strong correlation value (R2) of 0.861 (Figure 7). This showed that storage duration was correlated with the number of bacteria on the beef meat. A positive slope value showed that the graph model increased during storage.

An increase in the number of bacteria in beef with increasing storage time indicates a decrease in meat quality (Anggraeni 2012). Parameters that showed the decreasing quality of meat caused by bacteria were changes in color, aroma, texture, formation of a slimy compound, the emergence of gas, and increase in liquid (Dengen 2015). According to SNI-7388-2009, the microbiological requirements contained in beef for consumption should not exceed 1x106 CFU/g or about 6 log CFU/g. The TPC value of beef at hour 8 of storage was 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g, which had exceeded the maximum microbial limit set so that beef was not suitable for consumption anymore because it had been damaged.



Figure 7. Beef TPC value during storage

Conclusions

Butterfly pea extract can be used as a natural dye in the making of indicator film due to its anthocyanin content of 218.323 mg/kg and pH of 5.838. The best formulation for indicator film was obtained with the composition of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA and chitosan 20:80 with the addition of 5 mL of butterfly pea flower extract. Based on the data obtained the pH of beef increased after 8 hours of storage from 5.726±.011 to 7.540±0.351 which indicates that the beef had already entered the rotten phase. The Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) value obtained at hour 8 was 30.815±5.602 mg N/100 g and already exceeded the threshold of 20 mg N/100g. At hour 8 of storage, the beef TPC value was 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g and showed that the number of bacteria had already exceeded the maximum limit of 6 log CFU/g. The TVBN and TPC value at hour 8 of storage showed that the beef was not safe for consumption. The application of indicator film on beef packaging showed that there was a correlation between the decline of beef quality with the color change of indicator film. The color change of indicator film in 48 hours of storage was from green to yellowish-green with film thickness changed from 0.171±0.042 mm to 0.136±0.043 mm.

Acknowledgment

Acknowledgments are especially shown for capacity-building research through Research and Technology and Higher Education SIMLITABMAS funding in 2018.

References

- [BSN] Badan Standarisasi Nasional 2008. Metode pengujian cemaran mikroba dalam daging, telur, dan susu serta hasil olahannya. Jakarta (ID): Badan Standarisasi Nasional.
- _____. 2009. Cara Uji Kimia-Bagian 8: Penentuan Kadar Total Volatil Base Nitrogen (TVB-N) dan Trimetil Amin Nitrogen (TMA- N) pada Produk Perikanan. Jakarta (ID): Badan Standarisasi Nasional.
- Ahmed I. Lin H. Zou L. et al. 2018. An overview of smart packaging technologies for monitoring safety and quality of meat an meat product. Package Technol. Sci. 82(1):163:178.
- Anggraeni E. 2012. Penggunaan kitosan sebagai pengawet alami terhadap mutu daging ayam segar selama penyimpanan suhu ruang [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Anggraeni Y. 2005. Sifat fisik daging dada ayam broiler pada berbagai lama postmortem di suhu ruang [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Azizah L H. 2015. Analisis kemunduran mutu udang vaname (Litopenaeus vannamel) secara kimiawi dan mikrobiologis [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): institute Pertanian Bogor.
- Azriani Y. 2006. Pengaruh jenis kemasan plastik dan kondisi pengemasan terhadap kualitas mi sagu selama penyimpanan [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Christiana C. Balamatsia, Apostolos P, Michael G. 2007. Possible role of volatile amines as quality-indicating metabolites in modified atmosphere-packaged chicken fillets: Correlation with microbiological and sensory attributes. Journal Food Chemistry. 104(4): 1622-1628.
- Dengen PM. 2015. Perbandingan uji pembusukan dengan menggunakan metode uji postma, uji eber, uji H2s dan pengujian mikroorganisme pada daging babi di pasar tradisional sentral Makassar [skripsi]. Makassar (ID): Universitas Hasanudin
- Fehragucci H. 2012. Pengaruh penambahan plasticizer kitosan terhadap karakter edible film Ca-alginat [skripsi]. Surakarta (ID): Universitas Sebelas Maret.
- Hasnedi YW. 2009. Pengembangan kemasan cerdas (smart packaging) dengan sensor berbahan dasar chitosan-asetat, polivinil alkohol, dan pewarna indikator bromthymol blue sebagai pendeteksi kebusukan fillet ikan nila. [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Heising J K. 2014. Intelligent packaging for monitoring food quality: A case study on fresh fish [thesis]. Belanda (NL): Wageningen University.
- Iskandar AYS. 2014. Label indikator besi (ii) sulfat (FeSO4) pendeteksi kebusukan daging [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.

- Jabbar UF. 2017. Pengaruh penambahan kitosan terhdap karakteristik bioplastik dari pati kulit kentang (Solanum tuberosum. L) [skripsi]. Makassar (ID): UIN Alaudin Makassar.
- Jinadasa BKKK. 2014. Determination of quality of marine fishes based on total volatile base nitrogen test (TVB-N). Journal Nature and Science. 12(5): 106-111.
- Kurniawan NP, Dian S, Kusuma A. 2014. Kualitas fisik daging sapi dari tempat pemotongan hewan di Bandar Lampung. jurnal Ilmiah Perternakan Terpadu. 2(3):133-137.
- Komariah, Sri Rahayu, Sarjito. 2009. Sifat fisik daging sapi, kerbau, dan domba pada lama post-mortem yang berbeda. Jurnal Perternakan.33(3): 183-189.
- Mega O, Warnoto dan D B Castika. 2009. Pengaruh pemberian jahe merah (Zingiber officinale Rosc) terhadap karakteristik dendeng daging ayam petelur afkir. J Sain Peternakan Indonesia. 4(2): 106-112.
- Nikijuluw C. 2013. Color characteristic of butterfly pea (*Clitoria ternatea* I.) anthocyanin extracts and brilliant blue [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Nofrida R. 2013. Film indikator warna daun erpa (*Aerva sanguinolenta*) sebagai kemasan cerdas untuk produk rentan suhu dan cahaya [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Octavia R. 2015. Pembuatan label cerdas pendeteksi *Staphylococcus aureus* pada daging [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Pangestika R, Dian S, Kusuma A. 2017. Kualitas fisik pada potongan primal karkas sapi krui betina di kabupaten pesisir barat lampung. Jurnal Riset dan Inovasi Perternakan. 1(3): 16-20.
- Prasetyo H, Masdiana Ch Padaga, Manik ES. 2013. Kajian kualitas fisiko kimia daging sapi di kota pasar malang. Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Hasil Ternak. 8(2): 1-8.
- Ridhawati. 2016. Sintetis film indikator komposit polivinil alkohol-kitosan dan aplikasinya pada sensor kesegaran fillet ikan nila. Di dalam: Merla, Yuriadi, Fachri, Muh Harsyid, editor. Pengembangan Teknologi dan SDM Industri yang Kompetitif dan Berdaya Saing; 2016 November 16; Makassar, Indonesia. Makassar (ID): Politeknik ATI Makassar. hlm 85-89.
- Riyanto R, Irma H, Singgih W. 2014. Karakteristik plastik indikator sebagai tanda peringatan dini tingkat kesegaran ikan dalam kemasan plastik. Jurnal Perikanan. 9(2): 153-163.
- Sinha K, Papita DS. Siddhartha D. 2012. Natural blue dye from (*Clitoria ternatea*) extraction and analysis methods. RJTA. 16 (2): 34-38.
- Setiautami A. 2013. Pembuatan kemasan cerdas indikator warna dengan pewarna bit (B. vulgaris L. var cicla L.) [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Vankar PS, Jyoti S. 2010. Evaluation of anthocyanin content in red and blue flowers. Journal of Food Engineering. 6(4):1-11.

Yanti H, Hidayati, Elfawati. 2008. Kualitas daging sapi dengan kemasan plastik PE (polyethylene) dan PP (polyprophylen) di pasar arengka kota pekanbaru. Jurnal Peternakan. 5(1):22-27.

6

by Inanpi Hidayati

Submission date: 05-Oct-2023 04:54PM (UTC+0800) Submission ID: 2186306093 File name: tterfly_Pea_Clitoria_ternateaL._as_Detection_of_Beef_Damage.docx (551.07K) Word count: 4570 Character count: 23694

Indicator Film of Natural Coloring of Butterfly Pea (Clitoria ternatea L.) as Detection of Beef Damage

Hermawan Seftiono 1*, Qorry Aina 1, Inanpi Hidayati Sumiasih1

¹ Trilogi University, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract

Beef is a food source with a high protein content that would be ideal for microbes to flourish. Microbes would reduce the quality of a product. Therefore, an indicator on smart packaging would be needed to detect the quality of a product. The indicator film in this study used betterfly pea flower extract which contains a natural coloring agent, anthocyanin. The objective of this study was to obtain the indicator film with the best concentration of PVA, chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the response of indicator film color, pH, and thickness, to the beef pH and TVBN, and to calculate the total microbes as time determinant of the beef quality. The study consisted of three steps, namely, the extraction of butterfly pea flower, the making of indicator film, and the application of indicator film on beef packaging. The best indicator film was obtained with the formulation of PVA and chitosan of 20:80 with the addition of 5 ml of butterfly pea extract. The color change was from blue to yellowish-green with "hue of 137.81±19.31p. The thickness of indicator film in 48hours of storage decreased from 0.171±0.042 to 0.136±0.043. The pH of beef increased after 8 hours of storage from 5.726±0.011 to 7.540±0.351. The TVBNof beef after 8 hours of storage had exceeded the threshold of 30.815±5,602 which indicates that it was not safe for consumption. The TPC of beef from the 8 hours of storage had exceeded the maximum number of 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g.

Introduction

Beef consisted of water, fat, protein, carbohydrate, vitamin and several minerals (Prasetyo et al. 2013; Komariah et al. 2009). The chemical compositions of beef are 77.65% water. 14.7% fat and 18.26% protein (Prasetyo et al. 2013). The high protein content in beef causes microbes to grow and multiply so that it can reduce product quality. Efforts to increase the shelf life of beef to slow down the quality degradation due to contamination can be done by storing at low temperatures, using natural preservatives, and good gackaging.

The current innovation of packaging is smart packaging. Smart packaging is a packaging system that can monitor temperature, freshness, the presence of microbes, and product shelf life (Ahmed et al. 2018). Smart packaging has a pH indicator that can use natural or synthetic dyes. This study used butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in smart packaging because currently its utilization has not been maximized compared to its big potential to be used as a natural pH indicator. Butterfly pea flower has a color pigment



called anthocyanin which can be useful as an indicator of changes in pH. Based on research conducted by Vankar & Jyoti (2010), the anthocyanin levels in the butterfly pea flower are 227.42 mg/kg.

Based on this information, the use of butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in indicator films needs to be developed. The presence of a natural dye in indicator film on smart packaging plays a role in detecting the quality of the product directly which is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polyviayl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the color response of the indicator film on changes in color, pH, thickness, Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) of beef, and to calculate the total microbes as a determinant of beef quality.Based on this information, the use of butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in indicator film needs to be developed. The presence of a natural dye in indicator film on a smart packaging plays a role in detecting the quality of the product directly which is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polynayl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower as a natural dye in indicator film needs to be developed. The presence of a natural dye in indicator film on a smart packaging plays a role in detecting the quality of the product directly which is proportional to its color change. The objective of this study was to obtain an indicator film with the best concentration of polynayl alcohol (PVA), chitosan, and butterfly pea flower extract as the natural dye, to study the color response of the indicator film on changes in color, pH, thickness, Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) of beef, and to calculate the total microbes as a color, pH, thickness, Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) of beef, and to calculate the total microbes as a determinant of beef quality.

Materials

The study was conducted from May to July 2019 at the Laboratory of Microbiology and Biochemistry, Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Bioindustry, Trilogi University, Jakarta, and at Testing Laboratory of the Bogor Agricultural Postharvest Research and Development Center.

The materials used in this study were categorized into four types namely the materials to extract butterfly pea flower dye, the materials to make the film/ packaging, the materials for applying the indicator film, and the materials for analysis. The materials used for extracting the color from butterfly pea flowers were butterfly pea flowers obtained froma garden in the Kedung Halang region and distilled water. The materials for making the indicator film were chitosan polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), acetic acid, distilled water, and glycerol. The materials for applying the indicator film were beef obtained from Lenteng Agung market, plastic wrap, and styrofoam. The materials for analysis were aluminum foil, Whatman's filter paper no. 1, Rofa Labolatorium Centre's Vaseline, Nitra Chemical's 7% TCA solution, Rofa Labolatorium Centre K2CO3, Merck's ethanol 97%, Merck's HCL 1.5 N, Merck's HCI 0.02 N, Pudak Scientific's boric acid 3%, Nitra Chemical's bromocresol green (BCG), Pudak Scientific's methyl red (MR), Merck's peptone water (BPW) buffer media, and Merck's plate count agar (PCA).

Tools for extracting the butterfly pea flower were Excalibur dehydrator, Kern analytical balance, Thermo TA288 thermometer, stove, pan, and stirrer. The tools used to make the indicator film are Kern analytical balance, beaker glass, Stuart hot plate, magnetic stirrer, Thermo TA288 thermometer, measuring cup, and plastic mold (size 12x12cm). The tools used for analysis were Memmert incubator, Hirayama autoclave, Agilent Technologies pH meter, Tricle Brand screw micrometer, TCR 200 chromameter, Memmert oven, beaker, mortar and pestle, Bunsen, test tube, petri dish, burette, stative, vortex mixer. ZX3, Erlenmeyer, Conway dish, micropipette, and UV-Vis spectrophotometer.



Methods

Butterfly Pea Flower Extraction (Sinha et al. 2012 modified)

The butterfly pea flowers were first dried using a dehydrator at 60 °C for 1 hour (modified from the previous method without drying), and then the flowers were cleaned and weighted to get 5 grams. In a saucepan, 250 mL of distilled water and 5 grams of flowers were added then bring to 80 °C for 5 to 10 minutes. After extraction, the flowers were separated and the extract was used for the next step.

The Making of Indicator Film (Nofrida 2013 modified)

The indicator film was made by using chitosan-acetate, PVA, and glycerol. The composition used was the combination of 3% PVA (w/v) and 3% chitosan-acetate (w/v) with the addition of glycerol as a plasticizer of 1% (v/v) of the total solution volume. The tested factor was the addition of the dye, using 5, 10, 15, and 20 mL of dye/100 mL of film solution. The dye used was the butterfly pea flower extract.

In the first step, PVA was dissolved with distilled water at 80 °C for 30 minutes using a magnetic stirrer. Next, the chitosan was dissolved in a 1% acetic acid solution. The dissolved PVA solution was added with dissolved chitosan with a volume ratio that can be seen in Table 2. The next step was to add 1% glycerol and then homogenize it by stirring, then add 5, 10, 15, or 20 mL dipatural dyes from butterfly pea flower per 100 mL of film solution. The homogeneous film solution was poured into 12x12 cm plastic molds and dried at room temperature (25±3 °C) with a modified time of 48 hours, while research conducted by Nofrida (2013) used 24 hours.

The Application of Indicator Film on Beef Packaging (Octavia 2015 modified)

Beef cutlet of 60 gram was put on styrofoam and covered with cling plastic wrap with 3 x 3 cm of indicator film attached to it on the inside. The beef was then stored at a modified room temperature of (25 ± 2) °C for 48 hours. The storage temperatures in the study by Octavia (2015) were in room temperature of (25 ± 2) °C and cold storage of (4 ± 2) °C. The observation at (25 ± 2) °C was conducted at 0, 8, 24, 32, and 48 hours to observe the color changes of the indicator film.

Analysis Methods

The main research carried out in this study included testing the pH of the butterfly pea flower extract, measuring the anthocyanin content (Less & Francis 1972 in Nofrida 2013), testing the thickness of the indicator film (Nofrida 2013), color analysis of the indicator film (Hunter 1958 in Octavia 2015; Nofrida 2013); The anal 26 s of meat quality degradation includes the pH test of the beef (Mega et al. 2009), the Total Plate Count (TPC) test (BSN 2008), and the Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVBN) test (BSN 2009).

Results and Discussion

Chemical Characteristic of Butterfly Pea Flower Extract

The istterfly pea flower is one of the flowers with the potential as a natural dye source. The extract of butterfly pea flower can be used as a natural dye in the making of indicator film due to its anthocyanin content. The chemical characteristic of butterfly pea flower extract had been analyzed by measuring the pH and its anthocyanin content. The analyzed butterfly pea flower extract analyzed had a pH value of 5.838 and the produced color was purplish-blue. Analysis of the pH aims to see the degree of acidity of the butterfly pea flower extract. The acidity level of the butterfly pea flower extract and a pH value of the butterfly pea flower extract and the acidity level of the butterfly pea flower extract analyzed the stability of the anthocyanin compound. The results of the pH analysis obtained of the butterfly pea flower extract are in the normal pH range because based on the determination of the pH route carried out by Nikijuluw (2013) at pH 5 to 7 anthocyanin has stable color as at neutral pH, which was blue so that at that pH it can be used as an indicator film.

The result for anthocyanin content of butterfly pea flower was 218.323 mg/kg. The study by Vankar & Jyoti (2010) obtained higher anthocyanin content which was 227.42 mg/kg. This was due to the difference in the extraction method and the difference in the solvent used. The extraction process of butterfly pea flower used by Vankar & Jyoti (2010) was maceration methods which kept in room temperature in the dark using methanol solvent and acidified using 0.1% HCl, while in this study the method used was hot maceration by applying heat at 80 °C for 5 to 10 minutes using distilled water as solvent.

This study used the hot maceration method because the materials and the technique needed were simple. In addition, the butterfly pea flower is polar so it will be easily dissolved with water in the heating process. The methanol solvent maceration method would get a more concentrated extract color, but the extraction process is quite longbecause of the evaporation process to evaporate the methanol in the solution. In addition, the evaporation process is feared to leave residual methanol which can affect the further analysis process.

Determination of the Best Formulation

The objective of this study was to obtain the best indicator film formulation of the PVA and chitosan composition with the addition of butterfly pea flower extract as a natural dye. Based on the previously determined formulation, the next step was to apply theindicator film. The application was to study the color changes in butterfly pea flower by dindicator film.

The observation results of indicator film in 48 hours showed that there was a color change in the film with 5 ml butterfly pea flower extract while in the film with an additional of 10, 15, and 20 mL of extract did not show any changes. The more concentration of butterfly pea flower extract in the indicator film, the more vibrant the color and resulted in less observable color change. The five formulations (F1-F5) of indicator film showed that the best formulation was F1 with the composition of PVA: chitosan of 20:80 (Figure 1).

The best formulation which was F1 showed color changes from blue to yellowishgreen. The color change in indicator film occurred because of the protein degradation process of beef. The result of the degradation process was the volatile base that would evaporate and react with indicator film (Riyanto et al. 2014).



Figure 1. Indicator film with the addition of 5 mL butterfly pea flower extract

Indicator Film Color Change During Storage

The color change of indicator film indicates the quality changes in the product kept inside the smart packaging. The color change in the film occurred because the meat undergoes a decompraition process. As the beef decayed, it produced an unpleasant aroma from the formation of volatile alkaline compounds such as ammonia, dimethylamine, and trimethylamine. Volatile bases were the product of the protein decomposition process into amino acids by bacteria (Iskandar 2014). The gas produced during the decomposition process would interact with the indicator film containing anthocyanins. The anthocyanin compounds in the butterfly pea flower are sensitive to changes in the degree of acidity. This can be indicated by the change in color of the anthocyanin in the butterfly pea extract as the pH change from acidic top kaline. The volatile compounds produced during the decomposition process are collected in the packaging and cause the pH of the indicator film to change.

Table 1. Color change of indicator film with butterfly pea extract during storage

Duration of Storage (hours)	°Hue Value	Color Range*	Smart Packaging	Indicator Film
0	171,03 ± 4,12	Green	31	- 57
8	163,84± 0,42	Green	50	1
24	151,48± 2,20	Yellow to green		
32	151,15± 0,53	Yellow to green	-	





Note: (*) chromatic color range according to Hutchings (1999) in Nofrida (2013)

There was a decline in the "hue value of indicator film after 48 hours of storage. The decline started from hour 0 of 171.03 ±4.120 to hour 8 of 163.84± 0.420. The "hue value at hour 0 was categorized as green and still green at hour 8. The "hue value continued to decline at hour 24 to 151.48±2.20, at hour 32 to 151.15±0.530, and at hour 48 to 137.81±19.30.

The decline in "hue value of indicator film had a regression equation of y= -0.664x + 169.9 with a strong correlation value (R²) of 0.978. This showed that the storage time is correlated with the color change of the indicator film. A negative slope value showed a declining graph model during the storage process from hour 0 to hour 48 which can be seen in Figure 2.



The total of indicator film color change during storage can be shown with ΔE value by calculating the changes of L*, a*, and b* value from indicator film during storage. The ΔE value obtained (Figure 3) showed that there was a significant increase at hour 0 of 0.7±0.80 to 3.14±1.26 at hour 8, 3.87±0.52 at hour 24, 4.74±0.7 at hour 32, and significantly increase to 7.05±3.55 at hour 48. The regression equation was y= 0.117x + 1.270 with strong correlation value (R2) of 0.937. This showed that duration of storage was correlated with increasing ΔE . A positive slope value showed that the graph model was increasing during the process.

The increasing AE values caused the color change of indicator film from green to greenish-yellow for 48 hours. The color change in indicator film during storage showed that the beef underwent a decaying process and produced volatile bases that were interacted with the film.

6

International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022



Indicate Film Thickness During Storage

The indicator film thickness was measured to observe the changes during storage. The volume of solution and the size of the mold affected the film thickness (Setlautami 2013). When using molds of the same size could produce different thicknesses depending on the solution volume used. The higher the volume, the thicker the film produced.

The indicator film thickness changes during storage were shown in Figure 4. The thickness at hour 0 was 0.171 \pm 0.042 mm and then kept declining up hour 48 to 0.136 \pm 0.043 mm. The indicator film thickness had a regression equation of y = -0.0007 +

0.169 with a strong correlation value (R2) of 0.915. This showed that the storage duration correlated with film thickness. A negative slope value showed that the graph model was declining from hour 0 to hour 48.

The decline in thickness showed that the film was getting thinner. According to Jabbar (2017), the thickness was affected by the film resistance from water vapor, gas, and volatile compound transmission. The thinning of indicator film was caused by the process of water vapor transmission from the product. This process would cause the environmental conditions inside the packaging to become moist so that the indicator film was getting thinner due to interaction with water vapor. In addition, chitosan which was used as the base material for making this indicator film cannot hold water vapor well which causes the film to decompose and causes the indicator film to thin during the storage process (Fehragucci 2012).

Furthermore, Ridhawati (2016) stated that the concentration of plasticized can affect water vapor transmission. The plasticizer used in this indicator film was glycerol. The addition of glycerol as a plasticizer could increase the permeability of indicator film so that evaporated water could get through the film easily and cause the thinning of indicator film.



International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022



Figure 4. Indicator film thickness during storage

Beef pH Value During Storage

The pH value is an indicator to determine the level of acidity of the beef meat. Analysis of pH became an important factor in determining the quality of beef because the pH value can show the decrease in the quality of stored beef. In addition, analysis of pH in the use of art packaging was the benchmark for the level of quality changes of beef with changes in the color of the indicator film.

The graph of changes in the pH value of beef is shown in Figure 5. The pH value of beef at hour 0 was 5.761±0.034 and decline to 5.726±0.011 at hour 8. The decline in beef pH was due to the anaerobic glycolysis process that change glycogen into lactic acid (Kurniawan et al. 2014). This process would continue until the glycogen reserves in the meattissue were depleted. This study was similar to Pangestika (2017) which showed that the pH value of meat decreased at hour 8, from pH 7 to 5.6.

Based on the obtained results, the pH value from hour 8 to hour 48 increased from 5.726±0.011 to 7.540±0.351. The increase in pH value was due to the formation of volatile bases compounds from the decomposition process of protein (Azizah 2015). The increase of pH showed the rigor mortis phase had stopped and had entered the post rigor phase. The post rigor phase is characterized by the formation of aroma and the meat becomes soft again (Anggraeni 2005).

The beef pH value had regression equation of $\gamma = 0.039x + 5.597$ with strong correlation value (R2) of 0.971. This showed that storage duration is correlated with beef pH value. A positive slope value showed that the graph model was increasing from hour 8 to hour 48.



International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022



Figure 5. Beef pH value during storage

Beef TVBN Value During Storage

The freshness of beef can be determined by the Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) test. The principle of the Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) test was to evaporate the volatile base nitrogen such as amino-, mono-, di-, and trimethylamine during storage (Hasnedi 2009). The presence of those compounds caused the unsavory odor of beef during storage at a temperature of 25 °C with RH 50%. The storage temperature affects themicrobial activity which caused the formation of volatile compounds from meat (Heising 2014).

The value of beef Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) during 48 hours of storage in temperature of 25 °C and RH 50% showed in Figgre 6. The TVBN value increased during storage. The first measurement at hour 0 showed a TVBN value of 16.808±6.496 mg N/100 g, which increased to 30.815±5.602 mg N/100 g at hour 8. The increase of Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) continued to hour 48 which was 58.829±10.728 mg N/100 g. The value of beef TVBN had a regression equation of $\gamma = 0.829x + 20.64$ with a strong correlationvalue (R2) of 0.968. This showed that storage duration was correlated with beef TVBN. A positive slope showed that the graph model increased during storage from hour 0 to hour 48.

The increase of Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) was due to the increase in activity of microbes that decompose protein coppounds into amino acids which produce volatile base compounds such as ammonia due to the deamination of amino acids during decomposition (Cristiana et al 2007). In addition, trimethylamine (TMA) compounds are produced by the degradation of depomposition bacteria (Jinadasa 2014). The increase in these compounds correlated with the deterioration of beef quality and the odor produced when the meat entered the rotten phase.

Based on the study by Byun et al. (2003), the limit for TVBM for beef was 20 mg N/100g. The obtained TVBN value at hour 8 had already passed the threshold which was 30.815±5.602 mg N/100 g that indicates that beef had already entered the rotten phase and was not suitable for consumption.

9

80.000 70,000 **a** 47.623±10.728 00UN 60,000 50,000 58.829±10.728 ž 30.815±5.602 40,000 30,000 **VBN** 42.021+10.728 20,000 y = 0.8294x + 20.64216.808±6.496 10.000 R³ = 0.9689 0,000 n я 16 24 32 40 4.8 Lama Penyimpanan (Jam)

International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022

Figure 6 Value of beef TV8N during storage

Beef Total Plate Count (TPC) During Storage

Bacterial activity is responsible for the spoilage of beef during storage. The Total Plate Count (TPC) test was carried out to determine the number of bacteria contained in beef so that the quality of the meat can be determined. The results of the Total Plate Count (TPC) test on beef stored at 25 °C with 50% RH were shown in Figure 7.

The beef TPC value at hour 0 was $5.483\pm0.067 \log$ CFU/g. The TPC value then increased significantly at hour 8 to $7.338\pm0.035 \log$ CFU/g. The increase in total bacteria continued to hour 48 of storage which was $10.474\pm0.196 \log$ CFU/g. Based on that data the regression equation can be obtained, which was y = 0.105x + 6.294 with a strong correlationvalue (R2) of 0.861 (Figure 7). This showed that storage duration was correlated with the regression bacteria on the beef meat. A positive slope value showed that the graph model increased during storage.

An increase in the number of bacteria in beef with increasing storage time indicates a decrease in meat quality (Anggraeni 2012). Parameters that showed the decreasing quality of meat caused by bacteria were changes in color, aroma, texture, formation of a slimy compound, the emergence of gas, and increase in liquid (Dengen 2015). According to SNI-7388-2009, the microbiological requirements contained in beef for consumption should not exceed 1x106 CFU/g or about 6 log CFU/g. The TPC value of beef at hour 8 of storage was 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g, which had exceeded the maximum microbial limit set so that beef was not suitable for consumption anymore because it had been damaged.



10

Conclusions

Butterfly pea extract can be used as a natural dye in the making of indicator film due to its anthocyanin content of 218.323 mg/kg and pH of 5.838. The best formulation for indicator film was obtained with the composition of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA and chitosan 20:80 with the addition of 5 mL of butterfly pea flower extract. Based on the data obtained the pH of beef increased after 8 hours of storage from 5.726±.011 to 7.540±0.351 which indicates that the beef had already entered the rotten phase. The Total Volatile Base Nitrogen (TVBN) value obtained at hour 8 was 30.815±5.602 mg N/100 g and already exceeded the threshold of 20 mg N/100g. At hour 8 of storage, the beef TPC value was 7.338±0.035 log CFU/g and showed that the number of bacteria had already exceeded the maximum limit of 6 log CFU/g. The TVBN and TPC value at hour 8 of storage showed that the beef was not safe for consumption. The application of indicator film on beef packaging showed that there was a correlation between the decline of beef quality with the color change of indicator film. The color change of indicator film in 48 hours of storage was from green to yellowish-green with film thickness changed from 0.171±0.042 mm to 0.136±0.043 mm.

Acknowledgment

Acknowledgments are especially shown for capacity-building research through Research and Technology and Higher Education SIMLITABMAS funding in 2018.

References

- [BSN] Badan Standarisasi Nasional 2008. Metode pengujian cemaran mikroba dalam daging, telur, dan susu serta hasil olahannya. Jakarta (ID): Badan Standarisasi Nasional.
- _____. 2009. Cara UJi Kimia-Bagian 8: Penentuan Kadar Total Volatil Base Nitrogen (TVB-N) dan Trimetil Amin Nitrogen (TMA- N) pada Produk Perikanan. Jakarta (ID): Badan Standarisasi Nasional.
- Ahmed I. Lin H. Zou L. et al. 2018. An overview of smart packaging technologies for monitoring safety and quality of meat an meat product. Package Technol. Sci. 82(1):163:178.
- Anggraeni E. 2012. Penggunaan kitosan sebagai pengawet alami terhadap mutu daging ayam segar selama penyimpanan suhu ruang [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Anggraeni Y. 2005. Sifat fisik daging dada ayam broller pada berbagai lama postmortem di suhu ruang [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Azizah L H. 2015. Analisis kemunduran mutu udang vaname (Litopenaeus vannamel) secara kimiawi dan mikrobiologis [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): institute Pertanian Bogor.
- Azriani Y. 2006. Pengaruh jenis kemasan plastik dan kondisi pengemasan terhadap kualitasmi sagu selama penyimpanan [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Christiana C. Balamatsia, Apostolos P, Michael G. 2007. Possible role of volatile amines as quality-indicating metabolites in modified atmosphere-packaged chicken fillets: Correlation with microbiological and sensory attributes. Journal Food Chemistry. 104(4): 1622-1628.
- Dengen PM. 2015. Perbandingan uji pembusukan dengan menggunakan metode uji postma, uji eber, uji H2s dan pengujian mikroorganisme pada daging babi di pasar tradisional sentral Makassar [skripsi]. Makassar (ID): Universitas Hasanudin
- Fehragucci H. 2012. Pengaruh penambahan plasticizer kitosan terhadap karakter edible film Ca-alginat [skripsi]. Surakarta (ID): Universitas Sebelas Maret.
- Hasnedi YW. 2009. Pengembangan kemasan cerdas (smart packaging) dengan sensor berbahan dasar chitosan-asetat, polivinil alkohol, dan pewarna indikator bromthymol blue sebagai pendeteksi kebusukan fillet ikan nila. [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Heising J K. 2014. Intelligent packaging for monitoring food quality: A case study on fresh fish [thesis]. Belanda (NL): Wageningen University.
- Iskandar AYS. 2014. Label indikator besi (ii) sulfat (FeSO4) pendeteksi kebusukan daging [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.

International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022

Jabbar UF. 2017. Pengaruh penambahan kitosan terhdap karakteristik bioplastik dari pati kulit kentang (Solanum tuberosum. L) [skripsi]. Makassar (ID): UIN Alaudin Makassar.

- Jinadasa BKKK. 2014. Determination of quality of marine fishes based on total volatile base nitrogen test (TVB-N). Journal Nature and Science. 12(5): 105-111.
- Kurniawan NP, Dian S, Kusuma A. 2014. Kualitas fisik daging sapi dari tempat pemotongan hewan di Bandar Lampung. jurnal Ilmiah Perternakan Terpadu. 2(3):133-137.
- Komariah, Sri Rahayu, Sarjito. 2009. Sifat fisik daging sapi, kerbau, dan domba pada lama postmortem yang berbeda. Jurnal Perternakan.33(3): 183-189.
- Mega O, Warnoto dan D B Castika. 2009. Pengaruh pemberian jahe merah (Zingiber officinale Rosc) terhadap karakteristik dendeng daging ayam petelur afkir. J Sain Peternakan Indonesia. 4(2): 106-112.
- Nikijuluw C. 2013. Color characteristic of butterfly pea (*Clitorio ternatea* I.) anthocyanin extracts and brilliant blue [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Nofrida R. 2013. Film indikator warna daun erpa (Aerva songuinolenta) sebagai kemasan cerdas untuk produk rentan suhu dan cahaya [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Octavia R. 2015. Pembuatan label cerdas pendeteksi Stophylococcus oureus pada daging [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Pangestika R, Dian S, Kusuma A. 2017. Kualitas fisik pada potongan primal karkas sapi krui betina di kabupaten pesisir barat lampung. Jurnal Riset dan Inovasi Perternakan. 1(3): 16-20.
- Prasetyo H, Masdiana Ch Padaga, Manik ES. 2013. Kajian kualitas fisiko kimia daging sapi di kota pasar malang. Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Hasil Ternak. 8(2): 1-8.
- Ridhawati. 2016. Sintetis film indikator komposit polivinil alkohol-kitosan dan aplikasinya pada sensor kesegaran fillet ikan nila. Di dalam: Merla, Yuriadi, Fachri, Muh Harsyid, editor. Pengembangan Teknologi dan SDM Industri yang Kompetitif dan Berdaya Saing; 2016 November 16; Makassar, Indonesia. Makassar (ID): Politeknik ATI Makassar. hlm 85-89.
- Riyanto R, Irma H, Singgih W. 2014. Karakteristik plastik indikator sebagai tanda peringatan dini tingkat kesegaran ikan dalam kemasan plastik. Jurnal Perikanan. 9(2): 153-163.
- Sinha K, Papita DS. Siddhartha D. 2012. Natural blue dye from (*Clitoria ternatea*) extraction and analysis methods. RJTA. 16 (2): 34-38.
- Setiautami A. 2013. Pembuatan kemasan cerdas indikator warna dengan pewarna bit (B. vulgaris L var cicla L.) [skripsi]. Bogor (ID): Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Vankar PS, Jyoti S. 2010. Evaluation of anthocyanin content in red and blue flowers. Journal of Food Engineering, 6(4):1-11.

International Journal of Applied Biology, 6(1), 2022

Yanti H, Hidayati, Elfawati. 2008. Kualitas daging sapi dengan kemasan plastik PE (polyethylene) dan PP (polyprophylen) di pasar arengka kota pekanbaru. Jurnal Peternakan. 5(1):22-27.

14

6					
ORIGIN	NALITY REPORT				
SIMIL	0% ARITY INDEX	3% INTERNET SOURCES	9% PUBLICATIONS	0% STUDENT PAF	PERS
PRIMA	RY SOURCES				
1	Ruchir P Rhim. "F Packagir Colorant 2021 Publication	riyadarshi, Pary Recent Advance ng Applications ts", ACS Food So	va Ezati, Jong- s in Intelligen Using Natura cience & Tech	Whan t Food Il Food nology,	1%
2	Swarup food col respons Critical F 2020 Publication	Roy, Jong-Whar orant and its ap ive color change Reviews in Food	n Rhim. "Anth oplication in p e indicator fili Science and	ocyanin H- ms", Nutrition,	1%
3	WWW.res	searchgate.net			1%
4	Abdullah Setiadi k Butterfly Powder Indones Journal, Publication	n Muzi Marpaur Kartawiria. "The / pea (Clitoria te Drink by Co-cry ian Food Scienc 2020	ng, Michael Le Developmen ernatea) Flow stallization", e & Technolo	ee, Irvan t of er gy	1%

- 5 Kanokwan Kiwfo, Wasin Wongwilai, Pathinan Paengnakorn, Sasithorn Boonmapa, Suphasinee Sateanchok, Kate Grudpan. "Noodle based analytical devices for cost effective green chemical analysis", Talanta, 2018 Publication
- 6 Rianita Pramitasari, Levina Natasha Gunawicahya, Daru Seto Bagus Anugrah. "Development of an Indicator Film Based on Cassava Starch–Chitosan Incorporated with Red Dragon Fruit Peel Anthocyanin Extract", Polymers, 2022 Publication
 - "Food Packaging: The Smarter Way", Springer <1% Science and Business Media LLC, 2022
- Shaoyun Huang, Guannan Wang, Huamin Lin, Yabo Xiong, Xinghai Liu, Houbin Li.
 "Preparation and dynamic response properties of colorimetric indicator films containing pH-sensitive anthocyanins", Sensors and Actuators Reports, 2021 Publication
- 9

7

Isnawaida, F N Yuliati, K I Prahesti, R Malaka, Hajrawati. "Detection of coliform bacteria, total plate count and pH value in chicken eggs from Maros traditional market", IOP

<1 %

%

Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021

Publication

10

www.hindawi.com

<1%

<1 %

11 Hera Desvita, M Faisal, Mahidin Mahidin, Suhendrayatna. "Natural antimicrobial properties of liquid smoke derived from cocoa pod shells in meatball preservation", South African Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2023 Publication

Sandra Dwi Pangestika, Elis Dihansih, Anggraeni Anggraeni. "EFFECTS OF SUBSTITUTION OF BASAL FEED WITH FERMENTED NON CONVENTIONAL FEED IN RATION ON PHYSICAL QUALITY OF BROILER MEAT", Jurnal Peternakan Nusantara, 2018 Publication

- Jinfeng Zhang, Shengmao Liu, Chenxue Xie, Chengyang Wang, Yi Zhong, Kai Fan. "Recent advances in pH-sensitive indicator films based on natural colorants for smart monitoring of food freshness: a review", Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 2023 Publication
- Ping Shao, Liming Liu, Jiahao Yu, Yang Lin, Haiyan Gao, Hangjun Chen, Peilong Sun. "An

<1%

<1%

overview of intelligent freshness indicator packaging for food quality and safety monitoring", Trends in Food Science & Technology, 2021 Publication

15	Li Gao, Panpan Liu, Linlin Liu, Shitian Li, Yinghu Zhao, Jun Xie, Hongyu Xu. "ĸ- carrageenan-based pH-sensing films incorporated with anthocyanins or/and betacyanins extracted from purple sweet potatoes and peels of dragon fruits", Process Biochemistry, 2022 Publication	<1%
16	Vonny Indah Sari, Neng Susi, Vivin Jenika Putri, Anania Rahmah, Muhammad Rizal. "Smart Labels as Indicators of Tomato	<1%

Freshness Using Mangosteen Peel Extract", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2023 Publication

<1%

Achmad Qodim Syafa'atullah, Arie Amira, Sonya Hidayati, Mahfud Mahfud. "Anthocyanin from butterfly pea flowers (Clitoria ternatea) by ultrasonic-assisted extraction", AIP Publishing, 2020 Publication

17

18 Andi Dirpan, Serli Hatul Hidayat. "Quality and Shelf-Life Evaluation of Fresh Beef Stored in

<1%

Smart Packaging", Foods, 2023 Publication

- Azmi Alvian Gabriel, Anggita Fitri Solikhah, Alifia Yuanika Rahmawati. "Tensile Strength and Elongation Testing for Starch-Based Bioplastics using Melt Intercalation Method: A Review", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication
- 20

Balamatsia, C.C.. "Possible role of volatile amines as quality-indicating metabolites in modified atmosphere-packaged chicken fillets: Correlation with microbiological and sensory attributes", Food Chemistry, 2007 Publication

- 21 Miki KANNA, Sarawut SOMNAM, Wasin WONGWILAI, Kate GRUDPAN. "Towards Green Titration: Batchwise Titration with Reusable Solid Sorbed Indicators", Analytical Sciences, 2019 Publication
- Yiwen Bao, Huijun Cui, Jinlong Tian, Yumeng Ding et al. "Novel pH sensitivity and colorimetry-enhanced anthocyanin indicator films by chondroitin sulfate co-pigmentation for shrimp freshness monitoring", Food Control, 2021 Publication
- <1%

<1%

<1%

<1 %

23	basic.ub.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
24	journal.uinsgd.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
25	jurnal.fp.unila.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
26	www.cell.com Internet Source	<1%
27	Li Chen, I Chen, Pei Chen, Ping Huang. "Application of Butterfly Pea Flower Extract in Mask Development", Scientia Pharmaceutica, 2018 Publication	<1%
28	Min Zhang. "Effect of oxygen concentration on the shelf-life of fresh pork packed in a modified atmosphere", Packaging Technology and Science, 07/2005 Publication	< 1 %

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE	GENERAL COMMENTS
/0	
PAGE 1	
PAGE 2	
PAGE 3	
PAGE 4	
PAGE 5	
PAGE 6	
PAGE 7	
PAGE 8	
PAGE 9	
PAGE 10	
PAGE 11	
PAGE 12	
PAGE 13	
PAGE 14	



SAFE NETW RK to the burning

CERTIFICATE

Asia Pacific Network for Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy (SAFE-Network) Pukhet Rajabaht University, Thailand Chiang Mai University, Thailand jointly certify

Inanpi Hidayati Sumiasih

PRESENTER

International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food, and Energy (SAFE2019) Phuket, Thailand. October 19-21, 2019

Green Agrifood Energy Production for a Better World in a Changing Climate

8

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sermkiat Jemjunyong Local Conference Coordinator

uotwork la, SAFE Notwork a Adia a Adia A Prof. Dr. Novizar Nazir

SAFE-Network Coordinator